

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,251	09/15/2006	Ananya Mukhopadhyay	4544-052909	1805
28289) 7550 070072010 THE WEBB LAW FIRM, P.C. 700 KOPPERS BUILDING 436 SEVENTH AVENUE			EXAMINER	
			CHANG, SUNRAY	
436 SEVENTI PITTSBURGI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2121	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/07/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/551,251 MUKHOPADHYAY, ANANYA Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Sunray R. Chang 2121 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 April 2010. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 13-22 and 24-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-12 and 23 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 13-22 and 24-31 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

Attachment(s)

1) | Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) | Interview Summary (PTO-413) | Paper Nots/Mail Date | Paper Nots/Mail Date

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,251 Page 2

Art Unit: 2121

P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 - www.uspro.gov

Examiner's Detailed Office Action

This Office Action is responsive to communications, filed on April 14th, 2010;

Claims 13 – 22 and 24 – 31 are presented;

Claims 1 – 12 and 23 have been cancelled:

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicants amend the claims and further argue the combination of references fails to teach "converting and displaying the measured data from time domain, showing the result of the variation in speed of cooling and variation in speed of cooling and variation of header jet opening on a segment of the strip, to space domain, showing the finish rolling temperature for a segment, collecting process history data by tracking measured data at a plurality of points as it moves through the strip mill and storing said process history as a segmental file", which is respectfully disagreed. Barker substantially teaches the machines and rolling mills cooling in serial (fig. 1), Andorfer substantially teaches on-line processing the speed, temperature, cooling, etc., and further showing as "curves" (display).
- 5. The examiner cited reference, in forth rejection, Gramckow et al. (US #6866729 fig. 1) clearly shows the hot strip rolling mill which has been again cited herein as an official notice for teaching the "segmented" rolling apparatus each equipped with numbers of header jets which is proportional to flow rate and generating specified time-based cooling curves.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,251 Page 3

Art Unit: 2121

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claim(s) 13 22 and 24 31 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over George W. Barker et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,289,867, and referred to as Barker hereinafter), in view of Josef Andorfer et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,430,641, and referred to as Andorfer hereinafter), and further in view of Robert W. Carnes et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,770,832, and referred to as Carnes hereinafter).

Regarding claim(s) 13 and 31,

Barker teaches.

A system for <u>display of property prediction</u> for hot rolled coils in a hot strip mill; [variables
in the rolling stage are monitored and displayed by the supervisory computer ... one or more
of these variables can affect the physical properties of the rod during rolling. The
supervisory computer can produce a change in value of these variables if the desired physical

Art Unit: 2121

properties of the rod are not achieved as indicated by the information generated by the historical data generating means, col. 8, lines 19-301

- a unit for providing data on rolling schedule with chemistry from the steel making stage;
 [236, product input, fig. 2; col. 6, line 44 col. 7, line 13]
- one or more field devices for measuring process parameters during hot rolling; [flowmeter,
 239, fig. 2]
- a programmable logic controller for acquiring data of measured parameters from said field devices and transmitting said data parameters to a processor; [monitored by programmable logic controller ..., col. 14, lines 34 - 66; fig. 2]
- variation in speed of cooling and variation in speed of cooling and variation of header jet opening on a segment of the strip, to space domain using segment tracking; [values corresponding to a particular physical property, e.g., tensile strength, and values corresponding to actual flow rates monitored by the programmable logic controller 37 during the run are then processed by the supervisory computer 35 to determine whether the actual physical property of the rod is within the preset tolerance for the physical property which was input into the supervisory computer 35 at the initiation of the process. If the rod is out of tolerance, the supervisory computer 35, in cooperation with an historical data generating means 42, will calculate a new set point for the programmable logic controller 37 that is expected to bring the physical property into tolerance, col. 14, lines 34 66; 20, 22, 24, 26, 28 in fig. 1; col. 3, lines rolling mill cooling, fluid flow loop, programmable logic controller monitors actual flow rate by each flowmeter (see col. 3, lines 32 47)]

- a computation module for processing data for predicting mechanical properties of the strip being rolled; [used to predict or obtain the desired east bar characteristics required to produce the desired rod properties, col. 13, line 62 – col. 14, line 4]
- said predicted data on mechanical properties outputted from said computation module is
 stored in another unit for use by said scheduling unit at production planning and scheduling
 level. [may be saved or adjusted by the supervisory computer for future use based on this
 historical data, col. 4, lines 9 14]

Andorfer has been cited to provide a process with which the material properties of the end product which are to be expected can be calculated in advance at each step of the hot rolling production process; Andorfer reference teaches,

- A system for on-line display of property prediction for hot rolled coils in a hot strip mill;
 [process to monitor and control the quality of rolled products from hot-rolled processes
 which produce products such as sheets, rods, from input stock such as slabs, billets. The
 process estimates in advance the expected material properties of the end product at each step
 of the hot-rolling production process, Abstract]
- a unit for providing data on rolling schedule with chemistry from the steel making stage;
 [precalculated material properties, col. 3, lines 41 48]
- one or more field devices for measuring process parameters during hot rolling; [detected online ... On-line detection of the actual and instantaneous production conditions, col. 3, lines 30 – 41]
- means for converting and displaying the measured data from time domain, showing the result
 of the variation in speed of cooling and variation in speed of cooling and variation of header

Application/Control Number: 10/551,251

Art Unit: 2121

jet opening on a segment of the strip, to space domain, showing the finish rolling temperature for a segment, collecting process history data by tracking measured data at a plurality of points as it moves through the strip mill and storing said process history as a segmental file; [time-temperature curve, cooling curve, heating curve, rolling curve, calculating on-line, col. 3, line 49 – col. 4, line 45; rolling mill stands (see col. 6, lines 44 – 57)]

- a computation module for processing data for predicting mechanical properties of the strip being rolled; [samples, col. 4, lines 52 – 64] and
- a display unit for displaying predicted values for each segment, [time-temperature curve, cooling curve, heating curve, rolling curve;, col. 3, line 49 col. 4, line 45] the values being one or more of a cooling temperature, ferrite grain size, yield strength, ultimate tensile strength, percentage elongation and nitrogen in solid solution/precipitate, so preventive and corrective action can be taken during rolling. [col. 3, lines 30 41 and col. 3, line 49 col. 4, line 45]

Carnes teaches predicting mechanical properties along the length and through the thickness of the strip [product of the measured width and the nominal pipe wall thickness, col. 8, lines 33 - 38], for the purpose of determining and controlling the cooling rate for metal alloys [col. 1, lines 17 - 18].

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the teaching of **Barker** and **Andorfer** to include "predicting mechanical properties along the length and through the thickness of the strip", for the purpose of determining and controlling the cooling rate for metal alloys [col. 1, lines 17 – 18].

Art Unit: 2121

Regarding claim(s) 14,

Barker teaches, the system as claimed in claim 13, wherein

said field devices include one or more of a pyrometer, a speedometer, a thickness gauge, and
a solenoid valve for measuring data on process parameters. [radiation temperature sensors,
col. 14, lines 34 – 38]

Regarding claim(s) 15 - 17 and 25,

Barker teaches, the system as claimed, wherein,

- said programmable logic controller is a Westinghouse PLC 26 connected to said field devices through coaxial cable using remote I/O. [programmable logic controller, 237, fig. 2]
- said programmable logic controller is configured to capture data from said field devices over
 0.01 sec. using WESTNET I data highway with Daisy Chain Network topology.
 [programmable logic controller, 237, fig. 2]
- said processor is an ALSTOM VXI 186 processor and the data transfer between said
 processor and said programmable logic controller is through WESTNET II using coaxial
 cable with Token Pass Network topology. [supervisory computer, 235, fig. 2]

Since there is no indication regarding the purpose for using these specific PLC/Daisy chain/Token Pass/ALSTOM VXI/WESTNET I (II), they can be treated as a regular PLC/network/computer; further, this PLC/processor/network is a well known in the art product, not applicants' own invention.

Regarding claim(s) 18 and 26.

Carnes teaches the system with said computation module includes a deformation submodule for determining final austenite grain size after finish rolling. [deformation, austenite grain size, col. 6, lines 51 - 65], for the purpose of determining and controlling the cooling rate for metal alloys [col. 1, lines 17 - 18].

Regarding claim(s) 19,

Barker teaches, the system as claimed in claim 13, wherein said computation module includes a thermal sub-module for determining the temperature drop during radiation while cooling said hot rolled strip. [to cool the cast bar, col. 4, lines 15 – 21]

Regarding claim(s) 20,

Carnes teaches the system wherein said computation module includes a microstructural sub-module for determining microstructural changes during phase transformation.

[microstructural, col. 9, lines 5 – 33; microstructure has been changed, col. 28 – 40], for the purpose of determining and controlling the cooling rate for metal alloys [col. 1, lines 17 – 18], for the purpose of determining and controlling the cooling rate for metal alloys [col. 1, lines 17 – 18].

Regarding claim(s) 21,

Carnes teaches the system as claimed in claim 13, wherein

 said computation module includes a precipitation sub-module for determining an amount of aluminum nitrogen in a solid solution and in precipitates after cooling. [microalloying, col. 6, Application/Control Number: 10/551,251

Art Unit: 2121

lines 9 - 18], for the purpose of determining and controlling the cooling rate for metal alloys

Page 9

[col. 1, lines 17 - 18].

Regarding claim(s) 22,

Carnes teaches the system as claimed in claim 13, wherein

· said computation module includes a structural property correlation sub-module for

calculating a yield strength [col. 5, lines 9 - 11], ultimate tensile strength [col. 8, lines 25 -

55] and percentage elongation [col. 8, lines 47 – 55] based on the phases present, for the

purpose of determining and controlling the cooling rate for metal alloys [col. 1, lines 17 –

18].

Regarding claim(s) 27 and 28,

Barker teaches, the system wherein

• the system includes a display unit for displaying one or more of a cooling

Regarding claim(s) 24, 29 and 30,

Barker teaches, the system wherein

the system includes a data warehousing device for storing the data generated by said

computation module. [col. 4, lines 9 - 12]

Application/Control Number: 10/551,251

Art Unit: 2121

Conclusion

 Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Correspondence Information

8. Any inquires concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sunray Chang, who may be reached Monday through Friday, between 6:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. EST. or via telephone at (571) 272-3682 or facsimile transmission (571) 273-3682 or email sunray.chang@uspto.gov.

If you need to send an Official facsimile transmission, please send it to (571) 273-8300.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful in the regular office hour, the

Examiner's Supervisor, Albert Decady, may be reached at (571) 272-3819.

Sunray Chang

Art Unit 2121

/Albert DeCady/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2121

July 7, 2010

--